App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1928-29 (Mucs)

File No. 288-28

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later References
WS 28: 33 - 1 - 2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Part B for Mar 29

SUBJECT

Grievances of management of Sri Durgamma temple in Basavapatna in Chennagiri taluk.

To
The Mucs Comr.
The Comptroller
No 1908-9 dy 21-3-29
Sanctions the Mucs Comrs recom
referred to in SC 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Temples)

NOTES.

File No. 288 28

FILE HEADING.

Assumption of Management of Sri Durgamma Temple, at Basavapatna in the Chitradurga Taluk

FROM

The Muzrai Commissioner

No. 1167/28 & 1 file Dated 11/12 February 29 Received 1/2/29

Diary No 127	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends assumption of management of the Temple which is "non-Muzrai" institution under the Regn. as the present Archaka refuses to submit himself to control or have Dharmadarsis for supervising the Temple affairs etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sri Durgamma temple is on a hillock in Basava-Patna village of Honnalagere H. This ancient inst" has devotees among all castes of Hindu community. Some years back the archaka, then Archaka repaired the old temple by rest

Serial No.

Procle subscription — This temple is
near Mayavaram Mushtuk and having a large
of. Wetlees in the adjoining Mrs of Shiva
and Chitambaram Mrs. with a large inm
and also with valuable properties.

The present Pujari, a young
man, Thalappa, succeeded his father a
couple of years ago, was alleged to have
mismanaged the temple affairs. M
Sub-C held a formal enquiry under Sec
of the Rel. & Chy Endt Regn of 1927 and
has sent a report recommending that
the institution be taken under Govt
management & directions issued for
the good management of this public
Institution. Thalappa, the Pujari is
willing to resign & withdraw
the villagers all allege gross mis
application of the funds of the Institn

The Mayavaram Court recommends
the Govt's proposals for taking over the
management of this ~~Mrs~~ Institution
under Sec 19 of Regn

The records show that there is enough
grounds for accepting the Mag. Court's
recommendation. Sec for Govt's order
Lets
26/2 The S. Sub has also ~~recommended~~
that the present Pujari has
not asked for a hereditary right as the
original Pujari is of different caste

Serial No.

4018 —1912

and the rights of removal of persons the Puja subject to a scheme of management may be given to present pujari

Revenue) 28 26/12/28

The Mag. Comm's recommendation may be sanctioned

5378 1st ~ received) 6/3/29

6/3

I agree

Revenue

8 3/29

Debt Suba for abbl

4701/1873 C/L 12/3/29

Revenue) 12/3

WS 12/3

28 1873

6/3

28 1873

2 To The Inspr. General

" Comptr

No 1908-9 dt 21-8-29

Sanctions the proposals of

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,....11th. February..... ... 1929.

12

No. 1167 En 17ile
28-9

FROM

P. G. D'Souza, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

GOVT. SECRETARIAT
MUZRAI

To ANSWER
12 FEB

D. No. 1217
Encl 288-28

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., P.A., P.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of Shimoga District - Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.1-3.501/28-29 dated the 16th ----- January 1929 recommending for reasons given therein that the Sri --- Durgamma temple at Basarupatna in the Sagar taluk may be taken under Government management and to state as follows.--

This temple is a non-Muzrai institution of some - large circle of devotees in the adjoining taluks of the Shimoga and Chitaldurg -- Districts. Its annual income is stated to be not more than Rs.2500/- while the expenditure amounts to Rs.1000/- every year to the credit of the institution. Thus leaving a surplus of Rs 1000. The present Pujari Mr. who succeeded his - father a couple of years ago was compelled to get rid of the hereditary ------ mismanagement the temple affairs and mismanaged the affairs of the institution. The Sub Division Officer who was entrusted by the -- Deputy Commissioner to hold an enquiry as required by Sec.17 of the Muzrai Regulation, has found the allegations true. This Archak is reported to be an irresponsible person and has refused to subject himself to any control of management of the institution under the supervision of Dharmadarsis. As a result of the enquiry the Sub -- Division Officer recommends that the temple should be taken -- under Government management and a Trustee Board of Dharmadarsis appointed. The Deputy Commissioner concurring with the Sub Division Officer submits this recommendation

In these circumstances, I request that the Deputy Commissioner's proposal may be kindly sanctioned.

The file of vernacular records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Mazrai Commissioner.

tter No.Muz.1 C.961/28-29 dated 16th January 1929 from the puty Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in -- Mysore.

Religious Institution-non-Muzrai- Sri Durgamma Devaru temple at Basavapatna-Mis-management.

I have the honor to state that a temple dedicated to Sri Durga Ammanavaru at Basavapatna, in the Chennagiri Taluk is a non-Muzrai Institution. One Halappa is the archak as well as the Vanivatdar of the -- temple. There were frequent complaints from the devotees in the village against the management of the temple affairs by the Vanivatdar. In this office No.Muz. 1 C 961/28-29 dated 5-11-1928, the Sub Division Officer - Tirthahalli Sub Division was requested to hold formal enquiry under -- section 18 of the Religious and charitable Institutions Regulation on the several allegations of mismanagement by the archak and to submit a detailed report thereon.

2. In pursuance of the above order the Sub Division Officer has forwarded the records ending with his report No.Muz.Mis.1/28-29 dated 1-1-1929, wherein he has arrived at the following findings:-

1. That the temple under reference is a public religious institution having devotees of all castes of the Hindu Community residing in the ---- surrounding Talukas of the Shimoga and Chitaldurg Districts.

2. That the institution possesses gold and silver ornaments worth --- several thousands of rupees, which the Vanivatdar declined to produce for inspection by the Muzrai Officer.

3. That the annual income of the temple is over Rs.3000/- while the expenditure does not amount to Rs.1000/-.

4. That the said Vanivatdar, Halappa has not maintained any accounts for receipts and expenditure nor for the jewels received from time to time.

5. That the said Halappa an irresponsible youth of about twenty has been mismanaging the whole concerns of the temple, refusing to run the institution under the supervision of Dharmadarsis. In view of the above I cou he recommend the institution being taken over under the management of -- Government under the provisions of section 18 of the Religious and Charitable Institutions Regulation. In the mean time a request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for taking immediate charge of the -- properties in possession of the Pujari and to frame a scheme of management

In accordance with the wishes of the devotees, I solicit the favour early orders in the matter.

The connected records accompany for favour of perusal and return.

"C o p y"

For Mzral Commissioner.

F VI G-2]

EGT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1217

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Tungpai)

the 192 .

Date of issue 24/3/29

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec Tungpai

File No. 288-28

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

letter No 1167/28-29 dated the 11th/12th Feby 1929 from the Tungpai Comrs in Mysore recommending for remission, that the Sri Durgamma temple at Basavanhalli in the Chennagiri Taluk may be taken under Govt management.

Order No. 1508-

It is requested

Order Thereon No.

AG
RS
45/3

that the Sri Bhagavan
temple at Basava-
vedira in the Channagiri
Taluk is a non-Muzrai
institution, has a
large circle of devotees
The temple is stated
to be in receipt of an income of
about Rs 2,000/- per annum, with
the annual expenditure
is of about
Rs 1000/-.

The present Superi
of the temple, Halleshe
by name, is reported
to be mismanaging
the affairs of the insti-
tution, that the Subdivisional
officer, who held a
formal enquiry into
the matter found the
allegations proved and has
recommended that
the temple might be

List of enclosur

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0826—GPS—15,500—26

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

taken under Govt management, and a scale of expenditure fixed thereto & arrangements made for the ~~~ duties of the ~~~ Agency with the ~~~ Sub Division Officer

The ~~the~~ Inspecting Commissioner

Commissioner

and ~~~

~~the~~ Inspecting Commissioner reports ~~recommends~~ that the proposals may be sanctioned

Govt are pleased to sanction the Inspecting Commissioner's recommendations ~~are sanctioned~~.

In the circumstances explained, Government are pleased to direct that the institution be taken under Govt management

~~are commenced~~ Action may be taken to

under Sec 18 of Regn VII of 1827 and take further action for management of the institution

Suggested by the Agent

WD 2230—GPB—1 00,000—23 7 20,

a deed of cession
from to the Temple &
to authorize ~~the~~ ~~Grand~~
~~Master~~ Diploma decision
to authorize its
officers

Plea by the Grand

To the Supreme Council
33 Supreme Archiving
Records.

The Committee to Grand
C.G.
12/31/29.

CEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

Letter No. 1167/28-29, dated the 11th/12th February 1929, from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, recommending for reasons given, that the Sri Durgamma temple at Basavapatna in the Chennagiri Taluk may be taken under Government management.

Order No. 1908-9/ Muz 288-28-2

Bangalore, dated the 1 March 1929.

It is reported that the Sri Durgamma temple at Basavapatna in the Chennagiri Taluk a non-Muzrai institution has a large circle of devotees The temple is stated to be in receipt of an income of more than Rs.2000/- per annum with the annual expenditure of about Rs. 1000/-. The present pujari of the temple, Halappa by name, is reported to be mismanaging the funds and affairs of the institution. The Sub Division Officer, who held a formal enquiry into the matter has found the allegations to be true and has recommended that the temple might be taken under Government management, and a scale of expenditure fixed ther to and Dharmadarsis appointed. The Deputy Commissioner concurs with him. The Muzrai Commissioner requests that the proposal may be sanctioned. Government are pleased to sanction the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendations.

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.

The Comptroller to Government.